Single Bench

(5)

(3)

CF/1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W. P. No. 4383 /2006

PETITIONER : **Badri Prasad Pandey**
S/o Lt. Shri Gandhi Lal Pandey, aged about 58 years, Aaushadhalay Sevak, Chhapora,
Distt. - Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
Mukam Post - Konargarh,
Distt. - Janjgir -Champa (C.G.)

P.R. No.
Presented by
dated

VERSUS

RESPONDENT :

1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
Through : Secretary
Department of Health & Family welfare
Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur,
Tah. & Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

2. **Deputy Director**
Govt. Ayuved Pharmacy Raipur.

3. **Collector**
Distt. - Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

4. **District Ayurved Officer**
Janjgir]
Distt. - Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

Rina 14/08/06
RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G BIL. SPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(21)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.4383/... **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	18-8-2006 Mr. B.K. Chakrabarty, counsel for the petitioner. Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer from Chhapora, District: Janjgir-Champa to Sariya, District: Raigarh. Main grievance of the petitioner is that he is working as Aushadhalay Sewak which is a district level post, he is a low salary paid employee and he has been transferred out of the district. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation raising his grievances to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation to which, learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances, annexing a copy of the instant writ petition and its annexures within a period of ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the same within a period of one month from the	

P.T.O.

23/8/06 P 26/12

(22)

HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.4383 **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	date of its receipt, considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in an objective manner, in accordance with law. Till the representation of the petitioner is decided the transfer of the petitioner shall remained stayed. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3402/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

P.T.O.

3/5/07 Copy P 26/12/07